ELECTROKINETIC treatment of soils to: aid dewatering accelerate consolidation enhance soil strength control pore water pressure

Theory and recent practice

Colin Jones – David Alder BGA Evening Meeting, 7 March 2018

Email Colin Jones: c.j.f.p.jones@ncl.ac.uk

Email David Alder: david.alder@electrokinetic.co.uk

Website: www.electrokinetic.co.uk



Structure of lecture

Background

Electrokinetic phenomena Historic use of EK Development of new delivery/ control systems Research – practice

Application areas

Dewatering and consolidation In-situ increase in shear strength Control of pore water pressure Electro-chemical treatment

Reference



Electrokinetic phenomena

ELECTRC-06 VIOSIO ELECTROPHORES 8 The potential difference (electrical gradient) The potential difference (electrical induces water flow. oradient) induses particle movement. Cathorie Anode Anode Water flow Particle movement ELECTROMORATION The potential difference (electrical gradient) Carinode Anode induses anion and sation migration. Anion movement Cation movement

Water removed by electroosmosis is both interstitial and vicinal.

- Interstitial water is controlled by capillary forces.
- Vicinal water relates to molecules layered on the clay particles



Electroosmotic v hydraulic flow





Some historic uses of electroosmosis

Casagrande, **1939** – Stabilization of railway cutting and permitted safe construction

Casagrande, 1940 – Stabilisation of excavation for U-Boat pens

US Army Corps of Engineers, 1997 – Lowering of Piezometric level in clay core of East Branch Dam, Ohio

Casagrande 1967 – Ontario, Slope stabilisation using EO to generate pore water tension

Bjerrum, 1967 – Norway, EO consolidation used to stabilise quick-clay

Wade, 1975 – Stabilisation of 30m high slope in clayey silt

Casagrande, 1978 – Canadian Pacific Railway cuttings, EO used to strengthen slopes in weak soil and reduce land-take

Milligan, 1959 & 1994 – Little Pic river, Pile load testing of steel pile EO treatment to improve skin friction



Casagrande (1939) - treatment of rail cutting



Clay/silt cutting Work stopped due to instability



EO Treatment 7m deep steel electrodes @ 9m and 180V Work recommenced after 2 days, 1.3 kWhr/m3 of excavation



Pic River bridge piles – Milligan (1994)





Conclusion

EO is effective, fast and permanent



Issues/effects needing consideration



Also: desiccation = poor electrical connection, high and low pH



Review of past EK projects show treatment dependent on:

- 1. Correct choice of materials to treat
- 2. Design of multi-functional electrodes
- 3. Control of treatment and boundary conditions



Necessary characteristics for electrodes

Conduct water in / out of the system Transport gasses out of the system Maintain good electrical conductivity (Resist corrosion)

Electrode design must permit the treatment to be:

- Effective
- Efficient
- Reliable
- Economic



Combine electrokinetic phenomena with geosynthetic functions (EKG)

Electrokinetic phenomena

- Electroosmosis
- Electrophoresis
- Ion migration
- Electrolysis of water
- Heating
- Oxidation reactions
- Reducing reactions

Geosynthetic functions

- Drainage
- Reinforcement
- Filtration
- Separation
- Containment
- Membrane action
- Sorption



EKG electrodes provide

Engineered corrosion management (electrodes fit for purpose) Dense network of electrical contacts (maintain conductivity) Efficient drainage capacity to:

- Introduce / remove water
- Remove gasses

Exploitation of the traditional functions of geosynthetics e.g. filtration, reinforcement, separation, containment

Formation in a variety of 2D and 3D shapes to suit individual applications



Combing electrokinetic phenomena with geosynthetic functions

Creates active geosynthetics

Electrokinetics + filtration + drainage = (EKDrain) (e.g. Casagrande 1939)

- EK causes water to flow to the drain
- Drain provides filtration and drainage

Electrokinetics + reinforcement = (EKReinforcement)

(e.g. Milligan 1994)

- EK increases strength of soil and reinforcement / soil bond
- Reinforcement acts as normal



Control of boundary conditions

Drainage conditions

- open / closed anode
- open / closed cathode

Electrical contact (electrode design) Electrode composition (electrode design) Voltage gradient (applied voltage & electrode arrays) Voltage control (switching)



Drainage configurations



Clean up & electrochemical treatment

Volume control, dewatering & strengthening

Volume control (expansion)



EKG Electrode design



Mk1

Mk4

Mk6



EKG Electrodes (planar)





Current electrodes for strengthening and consolidation





Development of the EKG concept



Research to practice - Gartner hype cycle





Research - 5m high reinforced soil wall constructed with liquid fill



Mixing Fill



Placement



Fill after EK treatment



- Cathode
- ____ Anode
- ___ Secondary reinforcement



Possible applications – sports turf (rejected)

Oxygen directly to roots





Possible applications – waste/composting rejected

50% Sewage + 50% green waste Dewatering + oxygenation + heating



In abeyance - dewatering of mine tailings - SA







55% reduction in carbon dioxide
55% reduction in power consumption
67% reduction in water discard
49% reduction in volume of tailings
Transportable by conveyor
Need for tailings dam?



Reduction of liquefaction potential – Utah, in abeyance















Current applications of electrokinetic technology



Dewatering using EKG bags



- Drilling wastes
- Slurry waste
- Nuclear waste



Dewatering using EKG bags



Initial 2%



Non EK dewatering 8% ds



EKG bag



EK dewatering 20-30% ds



Dewatering of nuclear contaminated slurry & drilling waste



Nuclear waste 90% reduction in volume



Solidification of drilling mud



Dewatering of coal mine waste – (ochre)

- A legacy from centuries of mining
- Material is initially in the form of a suspension
- Conventional treatment allow to settle in a shallow lagoon, decant water and dry out residual sludge



Dewatering of lagoon waste

Passive system EKG system 2.8% ds 2.8% ds 1-5 days 6-9 months Drainage DC. Volts Electrophoretic settlement 6 weeks DC Volts 2 Drainage 🔺 Electroosmotic 🔺 flow Maximum solids content ~ 6 -Maximum solids content ~ 20 -9% achieved by gravity 30% EP accelerated settlement settlement and EO consolidation 6-9% ds 20-30% ds



Dewatering of mine waste



Initial condition



Clarification by electrophoresis in 1-5 days



EKG cathodes on top of orches sludge - drying by electroosmosis



Dewatering - China





Electrodes - Chinese EKG strip (Mk 4)



Lagoon dewatering



Large number of lagoons some over 200 years old Sludge – "too thick to pump too thin to shovel" Sludge has to be solidified before disposal



Dewatering active treatment – 6 weeks



Northumbrian Water sludge lagoon



Electrodes installed by hand



Consolidation of dredged silt/clay - China

Dredging and hydraulic filling is an important method for land reclamation










Conventional treatment – vacuum or surcharge loading





Vacuum consolidation – 6-12 months effective to a depth of 1-2m for machines to work on - secondary treatment is required (piles)

Surcharge loading – 3 years to produce same effect as electrokinetic treatment



Electrokinetic treatment







Electrode based on Mk 4 EKG Price = 20RMB/m (~3USD/m) 20 days of treatment, 16 days of intermittence Energy consumption 5.6 kwh/m³ Water content: $62\% \rightarrow 36\%$ Unconsolidated-undrained shear strength: $0 \rightarrow 25$ kPa Bearing capacity: $0 \rightarrow 70$ kPa (Target 80kPa)

Design based on electrical accumulation theory or electrical level gradient theory



Electrokinetic slope stabilisation

Components



Electrodes in the form of cathode drains and anode soil nails





EKG slope stabilisation has 4 components

Electroosmotic ground improvement

Reinforcement

Drainage

Soil modification

Remedial actions are distributed across these effects

Intensity of actions is dominated by:

- Electrode array
- Treatment duration



EKG slope stabilization has 4 components





Electrokinetic slope stabilisation

Design



Design

Based upon BS 8006-2:2011 soil nail design

Electrokinetic information required for design

- Electrical conductivity E_c (BS 1377-3:1990)
- Coefficient of electroosmotic permeability k_e (Helmholz-Smoluchowski 1914)
- Electroosmotic consolidation EO (Rosli cell modified triaxial)
- Increase in anode/soil nail bond strength (Electrokinetic shear box)



Anodic effects of EKG – cementation and increased bond

lon migration for anode



Pre-treatment

c' = 0kPa, Φ ' = 21.5 degrees

Post treatment

 $c' = 40.9 k Pa, \Phi' = 34.5 degrees$





Electrokinetic slope stabilisation

Construction sequence



Clear undergrowth and trim low lying tree branches



Site before

Site prepared for EKG

Site prepared soil nails



Install anodes and cathodes in alternating gradient-aligned columns





Advance the installation laterally along the slope





Electrode array ready for activation





DC power supply



Max capacity 2000A 100V Total current $1400 \rightarrow 800A$ 80 - 100V3 switchable 'half circuits'



3 Half circuits – drainage using lay-flay hose



Max capacity 2000A 100V Total current $1400 \rightarrow 800A$ 80 - 100V3 switchable 'half circuits'



Electrokinetic activation – 6-8 weeks (no labour requirement)





Post EK treatment – array of nails and drains throughout the slope





Rebar grouted into anodes to convert to soil nails





Cathodes retained as permanent drains





Electrokinetic slope stabilisation

Verification



Verification

Required

- Current monitoring
- Load testing of anode soil nails

Optional

- Inclinometer data
- Monitoring water discharge from cathode drains
- In-situ strength testing i.e. CPT
- Ex-situ methods i.e. triaxial testing to confirm c', Φ ', c_u



Current monitoring

Development of pull out/bond strength = *f* (electrical charge) Development of bulk soil improvements = *f* (electrical energy)



6m Anode equivalent

ELECTROKINETIC

Longevity of ground improvement





Electrokinetic slope stabilisation

Case studies



Network Rail South Greenford

Victorian, London Clay, 7m high Inclinometers 50mm/yr Deep circular failure 6 week treatment



NO LINE POSESSION

Cessation of movement

Electroosmotic drainage:control = 25:1

26% cost saving

47% reduction in carbon footprint



A21 Stocks Green – Weald clay

Weald clay, failing embankment Mature trees and a rich wildlife habitat (dormouse)



LOW ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT

Vegetation and natural habitat preserved

29% cost reduction compared to soil nailing on adjacent site

Reduction of 40% in carbon footprint

Requirement for traffic management eliminated









M5 J7 – Lias clay & Mercia mudstone

Variable design: treated in 6 sections Summer 2012 very wet weather Soils tests after 18 months:



ZERO DISRUPTION TO TRAFFIC

9% cost saving and 43% reduction in carbon footprint

Improvement in drained and undrained shear strength





A419 Swindon – Oxford clay

Shallow circular failure Oxford Clay embankment Previous granular replacement



VERY LOW IMPACT CONSTRUCTION

Installation 3 workers – 3 days

No earthworks and zero waste

Connection 2 workers – 2 days

6 weeks active treatment

Reinforcement 3 workers – 3 hrs

Decommission site – 4 hrs



SLC A72 Upper Clyde Valley – Glacial material

Sidelong embankment and cutting

Electrodes up to 21m long Installation yields dynamic probe data Continual update of ground model







GCC English Bicknor, Forest of Dean

Shallow circular failure Deeply weathered mudstones Sidelong embankment at / below water table



DIFFICULT GROUND CONDITIONS

Installation 3 weeks

No earthworks and zero waste

Designed in 3 zones

Implementation of the 'observational method'

Road re-build during active works



Economic and environmental benefits

EKG slope project	Alternative solution	Cost saving	Reduction in embodied CO ₂
Network Rail, Ealing	Gabion baskets and regrade	26%*	47%*
A21, Kent	Soil nailing	29%*	40%*
M5, Worcester	Soil nailing	9%	43%*
A419, Swindon	Reinforced soil	35%*	35%*

* Meet the objectives of Construction 2025 Industrial Strategy, BIS (2013)



Further applications

Pore pressure control



Control of pore water pressure

Esrig (1968) proposed analytical solution for pore pressure change through electroosmosis:

$$u = \frac{k_e}{k_h} \gamma_w V(x) + \lambda \frac{2k_e \gamma_w V_m}{k_h \pi^2} \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{-1^n}{\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2} \sin\left[\frac{\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)\pi x}{L}\right] \cdot \exp\left[-\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 \pi^2 T_v\right]$$

Able to analyse positive or negative pore pressure changes

Volume control applications





Control of pore water pressure





Further applications

Electroosmotic chemical treatment


Electroosmotic Chemical Treatment (ECT)

Concept is to enhance electrochemical changes by introducing a conditioner into the soil using electromigration and electroosmosis.

Main effect is cation exchange. Other processes include:

- Cementitious precipitation
 - Managed electrode corrosion
 - Combining chemical conditioners
- Ion Fixation

Generally $EM \ge 7x$ faster than EO

Achieves similar results to lime modification but without the need for mechanical mixing



Examples of ECT

Chien et al (2010) used ECT with $CaCl_2 \& Na_2SiO_3$ (readily available & inexpensive conditioners)

Reactions

 $CaCl_2 + Na_2SiO_3 + H_2O \rightarrow [CaSiO_3]. H_2O ppt$

 $Ca^{2+} + 2OH^{-} \rightarrow Ca(OH)_2$ gel

EKL have noted 13x increase in anode nail pull out strength using $CaCl_2 + 40\%$ increase in EO velocity



Electrochemical increase in shear strength





Electroosmotic Chemical Treatment (ECT)

Abdullah and Al-Abadi (2010)

Results on highly expansive clay of montmorillonite, mixed layer illite/smectite and minor kaolinite:

Conditioner	Plasticity index (%)		Swelling potent	ial (%)	Φ' (degrees)		
	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	
Calcium hydroxide	40	31-34	14	3	24	31	
Calcium chloride		32-33					
Potassium hydroxide		8-32		0.4	24	36	
Potassium chloride		8					



Ion Fixation

K⁺ ions 1.33Å Hexagonal lattice holes 1.32Å

Produces strong bond between adjacent clay layers

Fixed K⁺ is non-replaceable

Smectites have high fixation power, Illites even higher





Electroosmotic Chemical Treatment

Natural hill slope Mixed glacial clays and silts 200m long 30m high Failure surface up to 15m below ground level





Summary

Electrokinetic treatment is not new

Development of novel electrodes and computer controlled application overcomes past deficiencies

Electroosmosis accepted in BS 8006-2:2011 Soil nail design

Verifiable design

Significant commercial and environmental benefits

Increased productivity



References and notes

- Alder, D., Jones, C.J.F.P., J. Lamont-Black, J., White, C., Glendinning, S., Huntley, D., (2015), Design principles and construction insights regarding the use of electrokinetic techniques for slope stabilization, XVI European Conference on SMGE, Edinburgh
- Bjerrum, L., Moum, J., & Eide, O. (1967) *Application of electro-osmosis to a foundation problem in a Norwegian quick clay.* Géotechnique, Vol. **17**, 214-235.

BSI (1990), BS1377; Methods of tests for soils for civil engineering purposes: Part3, Chemical and electro-chemical tests. BSI, London

BSI (2011), BS 8006: Code of Practice for strengthened/reinforced soil and other fills: Part 2, soil nails. BSI, London

Casagrande L, (1952) Electro-osmotic stabilisation of soils. J. Boston Society of Civil Engineers, ASCE, 39(1), 51-83

Casagrande L, (1983) Stabilisation of soils by means of electro-osmosis state-of-the-art, J. Boston Society of Civil Engineers, ASCE, 69(2), 255-302

Department for Business, Innovation and Skills, (2013). Construction 2025, URN BIS/13/955, 73p

- Esrig, M.I. (1968) Pore Pressures, Consolidation And Electrokinetics. Journal of the Soil Mechanics And Foundation Division, ASCE, Vol. 94(SM4), pp 899-921
- Glendinning, S., Jones, C.J.F.P., and Pugh, R.C., (2005) *"Reinforced Soil using Cohesive Fill and Electrokinetic Geosynthetics"* Int. Journal of Geomechanics **5**(2), 138-146, ACSE June
- Hamir R. (1997) *Some aspects and applications of electrically conductive geosynthetic materials.* PhD Thesis, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK, p 225.
- Hamir RB, Jones, CJFP, and Clarke, BG. (2001) Electrically conductive geosynthetics for consolidation and reinforced soil, *Geotextiles and Geomembranes*, **19** (8), 455-482.
- Jones, C.J.F.P., Glendinning, S., and Shim, G.S.C., (2002) "*Soil consolidation using electrically conductive geosynthetics*" 7th Int. Conf. Geosynthetics and Geomembranes Eds.Ph. Delmas and J.P. Gourc, **3**, 1039-1042, Balkema



References and notes

- Jones, C.J.F.P., and Pugh, R.C., (2001) *"A Full-Scale field trial of electrically enhanced cohesive reinforced soil using electrically conductive geosynthetics"* In Landmarks in Earth Reinforcement, Eds. Ochiai *et al*, Vol.1, 219-223, Swets & Zeittinger
- Jones, C.J.F.P., Lamont-Black, J., Glendinning, S., Bergado, D., Eng, T., Fourie, A., Hu Liming, Pugh, R.C., Romantshuk, M., Simpanen, S., and ZhuangYan-Feng, (2008) *"Recent research and applications in the use of Electrokinetic Geosynthetics"* Keynote Paper, EuroGeo4, Edinburgh
- Jones, C.J.F.P., Lamont-Black, J., and Glendinning, S., (2011) Electrokinetic geosynthetics in hydraulic applications, *Geotextiles and Geomembranes*, **29**, 381-390, Elsevier
- Jones CJFP, (2011) Electrokinetic strengthening and repair of slopes. *Geo-Strata ASCE* 15(4): 18-26
- Jones CJFP, Lamont-Black J, Glendinning S, White C and Alder D, (2014). The environmental sustainability of electrokinetic geosynthetic strengthened slopes. *Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers Engineering Sustainability*, **167**(3), 95-107
- Lamont-Black J., Huntley, D.T., Jones C.J.F.P., and Glendinning, S., Hall J. (2008) "Electrokinetic Strenghtening of Tailings", *11th International Seminar on Paste and Thickened Tailings*, Paste 08, (eds), Fourie, Jewell, Paterson and Slatter, Kasane, Botswana, 211-224, Australian Centre for Geomechanics
- Lamont-Black J, Hall JA, Glendinning S, Jones CJFP and White C. (2012) Stabilisation of a railway embankment using electrokinetic geosynthetics, *Proceedings of the Geological Society*, Special Publication no. 26, 125-139, London
- Lamont-Black, J, Jones, C.J.F.P., & White, C. (2015), Electrokinetic geosynthetic dewatering of nuclear contaminated waste, *Geotextiles* and Geombranes, 43(3), 359-362, Elsevier
- Milligan V, (1995), First application of Electro-osmosis to improve Friction Pile Capacity Three Decades Later, *Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers Geotecnical Engineering* **113**(2), 112-116
- Nettleton, I.M., Jones, C.J.F.P., Clarke, B.G, & Hamir, R. (1998) *Electrokinetic geosynthetics and their applications.* Proceedings of the 6th International Conference on Geosynthetics, 25 29 March, Atlanta, Georgia, USA, Vol. 2, 871-876.



References and notes

- Pugh RC, (2002). *The application of electrokinetic geosynthetic materials to uses in the Construction Industry*, PhD Thesis, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, 277p
- Reuss, F.F. (1809) *Sur un nouvel effet de l'électricité glavanique.* Mémoires de la Societé Impériale des Naturalistes de Moscou, Vol.2, 327-337.

Smoluchowski M. (1914) In Handbuch der Elektrizitat und Magnetisums, 2, Graetz, L. (Ed) J.A.Barth, Leipzig, Germany.

- Wade, N.H. (1976) *Slope stability by electro-osmosis.* Proceedings of the 29th Canadian Geotechnical Conference, Vancouver, Section X, 44-46.
- Zhuang, Y.F. & Wang, Z. (2005). Electric Charge Accumulation Theory for Electro-osmotic Consolidation. *Rock and Soil Mechanics*, 26(4), 629-632.
- Zhuang, Y.F., Wang, X. & Zou, W. (2011). Energy Analysis Model for Electroosmotic Consolidation. *Geotechnical Symposium on Modern Soil Mechanics in Geotechnical Engineering*, TU Bergakademie Freiberg, Germany, 477-488.



References and notes – Why does it cost less?

Technique	Excavation and removal of soil	Removal of trees/fauna	Accommodates perched water table	Additional fill	Additional land take	Lane closure	Provides additional drainage	Increased bond of nails	Increased shear strength of soil
Cut off wall	(yes)	yes	no	no	n/a	yes	no	n/a	no
Slacken slope	yes	yes	no	yes	yes	yes	no	n/a	no
Toe wall + slacken slope	no	yes	no	yes	n/a	yes	no	n/a	no
Excavate + rock fill	yes	yes	yes	yes	n/a	yes	yes	n/a	no
Excavate + reinforced soil	yes	yes	yes	yes	n/a	yes	(yes)	n/a	no
Soil nailing	yes	yes	no	no	n/a	(yes)	no	no	no
EKG treatment + nails	no	no	yes	no	n/a	no	yes	yes	yes



References and notes – Low environmental impact

Technique	Loss of trees, seed bank & soil environment	Production of waste	Importation of fill	Traffic disruption	Increase HGV movements	Increased noise & lower air αualitv	Threatens habitats & wildlife	Reduction in quality of life	Impact on natural environment
Cut off wall	yes	(yes)	(yes)	yes	yes	Short term	yes	Short term	yes
Slacken slope	yes	yes	no	yes	yes	Short term	yes	Short term	yes
Toe wall + slacken slope	yes	Minor	yes	yes	yes	Short term	yes	Short term	yes
Excavate + rock fill	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	Short term	yes	yes	yes
Excavate + reinforced soil	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	Short term	yes	Short term	yes
Soil nailing	yes	yes	no	yes	yes	Short term	yes	Short term	yes
EKG treatment + nails	no	no	no	no	no	Minor Short term	no	no	no

